Thus the atomic arrangement of $\mathrm{Li}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{12} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ may be schematically represented as a stacking of alternate $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{12}$ and $\mathrm{Li}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{16}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}$ rings along the twofold axes.
In addition, the existence of two water molecules should be noted: $\mathrm{O}(W 1)$ and $\mathrm{O}(W 5)$ not bonded to the associated cations. During the structure determination, they appeared to be affected by large thermal factors. In such cases, it is always difficult to decide if the magnitude of these factors corresponds to the mobility of this type of water or to a partially occupied crystallographic site.
The group of five water molecules builds pentagonal rings around the $c$ axis. In these pentagonal rings the average $\mathrm{O}(W)-\mathrm{O}(W)-\mathrm{O}(W)$ angle is $107.6^{\circ}$ showing these rings to be flat within the experimentalerror range since this value should be $108^{\circ}$ for a flat regular pentagon.

Table 2 reports the main interatomic distances and bond angles in this atomic arrangement.
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#### Abstract

Cs}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{12} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, M_{r}=916 \cdot 56\), tetragonal, $P 4_{1}, a=9.466{ }^{(5)}, c=21.933$ (9) $\AA, V=1965.3 \AA$, $Z=4, \quad D_{x}=3.107 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}, \lambda(\mathrm{Ag} K \bar{\alpha})=0.5608 \AA, \mu$ $=4.05 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, F(000)=1664, T=293 \mathrm{~K}$, final $R=$ 0.035 for 1654 independent observed reflexions. The atomic arrangement may be described as alternating layers of $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{12}$ ring anions and caesium polyhedra perpendicular to the $c$ axis. The rubidium salt $\mathrm{Rb}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{12} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is isotypic, with $a=9.163$ (3), $c=$ 21.356 (8) $\AA, D_{x}=2.703 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ and $V=1793.8 \AA^{3}$.


Introduction. Various alkali-metal tetrametaphosphates have previously been described: $\mathrm{Li}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{12} .5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Averbuch-Pouchot \& Durif, 1986), two crystalline forms of $\mathrm{Na}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{12} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Ondik, 1964; Ondik, Block \& MacGillavry, 1961), $\mathrm{Na}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{12} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{Na}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{12}$ (Wiench \& Jansen, 1983), $\mathrm{K}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{12} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (AverbuchPouchot \& Durif, 1985b), $\mathrm{K}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{12} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (AverbuchPouchot \& Durif, 1985a) but, up to now, nothing was known concerning the rubidium and caesium tetrametaphosphates. In the present study, we describe the crystal structure of caesium tetrametaphosphate tetrahydrate: $\mathrm{Cs}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{12} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

Experimental. Rubidium and caesium tetrametaphosphate tetrahydrates have been synthesized by slowly adding the stoichiometric amount of $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10}$ to a water
solution of the appropriate carbonate kept at 273 K . The resulting solution is then slowly evaporated at room temperature. Crystals of these two salts are large distorted octahedra.

Density not measured. Cubic fragment $0.25 \times$ $0.25 \times 0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$. Philips PW 1100 diffractometer, graphite monochromator. Systematic absences: 00l, $l=4 n .18$ reflexions $\left(9<\theta<12^{\circ}\right)$ for refining unit-cell dimensions. $\omega$ scan. 2087 reflexions measured ( $3<$ $\theta<25^{\circ}$ ), $h k l, h_{\text {max }}=14, k_{\text {max }}=14, l_{\text {max }}=33$. Scan width $1.20^{\circ}$, scan speed $0.02^{\circ} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, total background measuring time 20 s . Two orientation and intensity reflexions ( 008 and $00 \overline{8}$ ) every 2 h , no variation. Lorentz and polarization correction, no absorption correction. Classical methods for structure determination: Patterson and successive Fourier syntheses. Anisotropic full-matrix least-squares refinement (on $F$ ). Unit weights. Final refinement with 1654 reflexions corresponding to $I>6 \sigma(I)$. Final $R=0.035$ ( $w R$ $=0.040$ ). For the complete set of unique reflexions (2087) $R=0.043$. Extinction coefficient refined: $0.34 \times 10^{-8}$ (Stout \& Jensen, 1968). $S=4.12$. Max. $\Delta / \sigma=0.29$ [ $\beta_{11}$ of $\mathrm{O}(W 4)$ ]. Max. peak height in final difference Fourier synthesis $0.692 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$. Scattering factors for neutral atoms and $f^{\prime}, f^{\prime \prime}$ from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). EnrafNonius (1977) SDP used for all calculations. H atoms could not be located.

[^0]Table 1. Final atomic coordinates and $B_{e q}$

| $B_{\text {eq }}=\Sigma_{-i} \Sigma_{j} \beta_{i j} \mathbf{a}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{j}$. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B_{\text {eq }}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cs}(1)$ | 0.4821 (1) | $0 \cdot 1962$ (1) | 0 | 2.42 (3) |
| $\mathrm{Cs}(2)$ | 0.4515 (1) | 0.3128 (1) | $0 \cdot 50468$ (7) | 2.69 (3) |
| $\mathrm{Cs}(3)$ | 0.0867 (1) | 0.2556 (1) | 0.08807 (7) | 2.43 (3) |
| $\mathrm{Cs}(4)$ | 0.2354 (1) | 0.0202 (1) | 0.33618 (7) | 2.64 (3) |
| P (1) | 0.1983 (5) | 0.6275 (5) | $0 \cdot 4270$ (2) | 1.6 (1) |
| P (2) | $0 \cdot 3510$ (5) | 0.9431 (5) | 0.1640 (2) | 1.8 (1) |
| P(3) | 0.4922 (5) | 0.2178 (5) | 0.1793 (2) | 1.6 (1) |
| $\mathrm{P}(4)$ | 0.9207 (5) | 0.7679 (5) | 0.4100 (2) | 1.8 (1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(E 11)$ | 0.730 (1) | 0.412 (1) | 0.9845 (7) | $2 \cdot 5$ (4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(E 12)$ | 0.277 (1) | 0.709 (1) | 0.3806 (7) | $2 \cdot 6$ (4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{L} 12)$ | 0.493 (1) | 0.865 (1) | 0.1414 (6) | $2 \cdot 1$ (4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{L} 14)$ | 0.710 (1) | 0.943 (1) | $0 \cdot 1971$ (6) | 1.8 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(E 21)$ | 0.274 (1) | 0.858 (1) | 0.2107 (7) | 2.7 (4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(E 22)$ | $0 \cdot 280$ (2) | -0.010 (1) | $0 \cdot 1076$ (7) | 3.0 (4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(23)$ | 0.926 (1) | 0.413 (1) | 0.4514 (6) | 1.8 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{E} 31)$ | 0.404 (1) | 0.298 (1) | $0 \cdot 1359$ (7) | $2 \cdot 7$ (4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(E 32)$ | 0.541 (2) | 0.287 (1) | 0.2356 (7) | $3 \cdot 1$ (5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(E 41)$ | 0.839 (1) | 0.035 (1) | 0.1025 (6) | $2 \cdot 3$ (4) |
| O(E42) | 0.846 (1) | 0.165 (1) | 0.2065 (7) | $2 \cdot 6$ (4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(243)$ | 0.624 (1) | 0.156 (1) | 0.1395 (6) | $2 \cdot 0$ (4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(W 1)$ | 0.525 (2) | 0.834 (2) | 0.3509 (7) | 3.8 (5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(W 2)$ | 0.446 (2) | 0.285 (2) | 0.3524 (7) | 3.9 (5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(W 3)$ | 0.721 (2) | 0.103 (2) | 0.4921 (8) | $4 \cdot 4$ (6) |
| $\mathrm{O}(W 4)$ | 0.734 (2) | 0.982 (2) | 0.9883 (8) | $4 \cdot 2$ (6) |



Fig. 1. Projection along the $c$ axis of the respective locations of the $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{12}$ ring anions in $\mathrm{Cs}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{12} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.


Fig. 2. Projection along the $b$ axis of the atomic arrangement of $\mathrm{Cs}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{12} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

Discussion. Final atomic coordinates and $B_{\text {eq }}$ are reported in Table 1.* Fig. 1 gives in projection along the $c$ axis the distribution of the $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{12}$ ring anions inside the unit cell, while Fig. 2 is a projection of the atomic arrangement along the $b$ axis. The crystal structure can be easily described as a succession of layers perpendicular to the $c$ axis and separated by $c / 12$. The $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{12}$ ring anions spread in layers located at $z \sim(3 n+2) / 12$.

[^1]Table 2. Main interatomic distances $(\AA)$ and bond angles $\left(^{\circ}\right)$ in $\mathrm{Cs}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{12} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$


Details of interatomic distances and bond angles in these groups are reported in Table 2. They have no internal symmetry. Mixed layers containing both Cs atoms and water molecules correspond to $z \sim 3 n / 12$ and $(3 n+1) / 12$. Caesium coordination polyhedra located in layers separated by $c / 12$ share O atoms while between two layers separated by $c / 6$ only water molecules are shared. $\mathrm{Cs}-\mathrm{O}$ distances in the various caesium polyhedra are given in Table 2.
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#### Abstract

Pb}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}, M_{r}=331 \cdot 20\), cubic, Pa3, $a=$ 7.8586 (2) $\AA, \quad V=485.33 \AA^{3}, \quad Z=4, \quad D_{x}=$ $4.535 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}, \quad$ neutrons, $\quad \lambda=0.8313$ (9) $\AA, \quad \mu=$ $0.0015 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$, room temperature. The atomic arrangement was refined with single-crystal neutron diffraction data to $R=0.019$ for 297 reflections. The structure is built up by a cubic face-centred-type arrangement of $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$ ions with nitrate groups in between. The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ bond length (uncorrected for thermal vibration) is 1.2469 (6) $\AA$, the deviation of the N atom from the $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ plane is 0.0102 (7) $\AA$. The amplitudes of the thermal vibrations have normal values.


Introduction. In connection with recent structural work on $\mathrm{Ba}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Sr}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ (Nowotny \& Heger, 1983) it seemed interesting to refine also the structure of $\mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ from carefully measured single-crystal neutron diffraction data. The structure of $\mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ was determined by Vegard \& Bilberg (1931) from X-ray powder data and by Hamilton (1957) from

[^2]0108-2701/86/020133-03\$01.50
neutron powder data assuming space group Pa3. Weber \& Haussühl (1977) found this space group compatible with careful determinations of physical properties, but Arkhipenko, Bokiy, Fydorova \& Shebanin (1983) recently reported space group $P 2,3$ for this compound.

Experimental. A single crystal of $\mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ (grown from aqueous solution at about 320 K , size approximately $1.8 \times 2.9 \times 3.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) was mounted on the $P 110$ neutron four-circle diffractometer at the 5C2 beam of the ORPHEE reactor at CEN Saclay (France) [wavelength, according to the lattice constant $a=$ 7.8586 (2) A (Swanson, Gilfrich \& Ugrinic, 1955), 0.8313 (9) $\AA]$. Before the measurements the crystal was quenched in liquid nitrogen (Heger \& Kuhs, 1979). The remaining extinction effects had to be corrected in the structure refinement.

1296 reflections with $\sin \theta / \lambda \leq 0.8 \AA^{-1}$ were measured of which 195 were 'forbidden' reflections in space group Pa3; range of $h k l$ : $0 \leq h \leq 12$, $-12 \leq k \leq 0,0 \leq l \leq 12$. Standard reflection: 006, mean intensity measured 2995.12 counts, $\sigma$ (calculated from counting statistics) $5 \cdot 12$.
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